

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/538,600	10/27/2006	Werner Bieck	ETF-0024	5437	
23413 CANTOR COI	23413 7590 11/19/2007 CANTOR COLBURN, LLP		EXAMINER		
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH			NOORI,	NOORI, MAX H	
BLOOMFIELI	D, CT 06002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2855		
			MAIL DATE		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	·		11/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/538,600	BIECK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Max Noori	2855
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ This  3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal mat	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 16-30 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 16-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyation is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/9/05.	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 16-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Serban in view of either Basham.

Regarding claim 16, Serban et al., discloses a force sensor with features of the claimed invention including a first and a second support foil arranged at a certain distance from each other by means of spacer which has recess, and electrodes and a layer of pressure sensitive material arranged in the active area of the sensor between said first and second supports such that, in response to a force, the supports are pressed together against a reaction force of the elastic support and an electrical contact is established between the at least two electrodes via said layer of pressure sensitive material. Serban does not elaborate on the nature of the support foil, however, the use of multilayer martial arrangements for this kind of force sensor is notoriously known. For example, Basham, discloses a respiration monitoring apparatus teaching the use of multi-layer support (see, for example, figure 2). It would have been obvious, therefore, for a skilled artisan at the time of the invention to modify support foils of Serban using teachings of Basham in order to provide for multi-layered configuration with layers of different materials

Art Unit: 2855

having different elastic properties for each support foil, in order to provide for more elasticity of the support.

Regarding claims 17-24, Basham teaches different layers with different properties.

Regarding claim 25-28, since terms such as "high chemical resistance" or "flame-retarding" are relative terms, the cited art reads on the claim's limitations.

Regarding claims 29-30, the layers are laminated, and can be deposited on top of each other.

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Max H. Noori whose telephone number is (571) 272-2185. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 P.M.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2800. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. The central fax number is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**MHN** 

Thursday, October 18, 2007

MAX MOORI PRIMARY EXAMINER